# Artificial intelligence and automation: creating a more resilient United States workforce

# Штучний інтелект і автоматизація: створення більш стійкої робочої сили в США

**Ifeoluwa Oladele** [A]
B.Sc., M.Sc., Ethical AI Researcher, e-mail: ifeoladele87@gmail.com
**Adeyinka Orelaja** [B]
**Corresponding author**: B.Sc., M.Sc., Graduate Research and Teaching Assistant, e-mail: aorelaja@my.apsu.edu
**Hameed Adeniyi Habeeb** [C]
B.Sc., MBA, e-mail: Hameed.habeeb@sus.edu

**Іфеолува Оладеле** [A]
B.Sc., M.Sc., Ethical AI Researcher, e-mail: ifeoladele87@gmail.com
**Адеїнка Орелая** [B]
**Corresponding author**: B.Sc., M.Sc., Дипломований науково-педагогічний асистент, e-mail: aorelaja@my.apsu.edu
**Хамед Аденіі Хабіб** [C]
B.Sc., MBA, e-mail: Hameed.habeeb@sus.edu

[A] Texas A&M University, USA
[B] Austin Peay State University, Clarksville, Tennessee, USA
[C] College of Business. Southern University A&M College, Baton Rouge Louisiana, USA

[A] Техаський університет A&M, США
[B] Університет штату Остін Пій, Кларксвіль, Теннессі, США
[C] Коледж бізнесу. Південний університет A&M College, Батон-Руж, Луїзіана, США

**Received:** August 5, 2024 | Revised: August 18, 2024 | Accepted: August 31, 2024

**DOI:** 10.33445/sds.2024.14.4.7

**Purpose:** is to assess the extent of AI impact, analyse existing initiatives and policies, and propose recommendations for fostering workforce resilience.
**Method:** A qualitative approach using manual content analysis is employed to review relevant literature.
**Findings:** to reveal the multifaceted nature of AI's impact, the diverse landscape of upskilling initiatives, and the importance of collaborative efforts.
**Theoretical implications:** This study contributes to knowledge by providing nuanced insights into the challenges and strategies associated with AI and automation's impact on the US workforce.
**Practical implications:** Identifies the potential negative consequences of job displacement and socioeconomic disparities resulting from the integration of AI and automation technologies.
**Paper type:** theoretical.

**Мета роботи:** оцінити ступінь впливу штучного інтелекту (ШІ), проаналізувати існуючі ініціативи, політику та запропонувати рекомендації щодо підвищення стійкості робочої сили.
**Метод:** Для перегляду відповідної літератури використовується якісний підхід із використанням ручного аналізу вмісту.
**Результати дослідження:** розкритої багатогранний характер впливу ШІ, різноманітність ініціатив з підвищення кваліфікації та важливість спільних зусиль.
**Теоретична цінність дослідження:** Це дослідження сприяє розширенню знань, надаючи тонке розуміння проблем і стратегій, пов'язаних із впливом ШІ та автоматизації на робочу силу США.
**Практична цінність дослідження:** Визначає потенційні негативні наслідки переміщення робочих місць і соціально-економічної диспропорції в результаті інтеграції ШІ та технологій автоматизації.
**Тип статті:** теоретичний.

***Key words:*** AI integration, automation, workforce resilience, United States

***Ключові слова:*** Інтеграція штучного інтелекту, автоматизація, стійкість робочої сили, США

## *Introduction*

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and automation have revolutionized industries across the globe, transforming the way we work and live. The United States (US) workforce, in particular, has experienced significant shifts as AI and automation technologies become increasingly integrated into various sectors (He, 2018; Yarlagadda, 2017). While these advancements offer numerous benefits such as increased productivity and efficiency, they also raise concerns about the impact on the resilience of the US workforce. The prevailing issues associated with the widespread adoption of AI and automation in the United States workforce must be carefully examined to ensure a resilient and adaptable workforce. This entails addressing potential challenges such as job displacement, skills gaps, and socioeconomic inequalities that may arise as a result of these technological advancements.

The rapid advancement of AI and automation technologies has raised concerns about the potential displacement of jobs in the US workforce. According to a study by the McKinsey Global Institute, it is estimated that by 2030, up to 30% of current work activities in the US could be

automated, affecting around 73 million jobs (Chui, *et al*. 2016). For example, the manufacturing sector has witnessed significant automation, with robots taking over repetitive assembly line tasks. This has led to job losses for workers who were previously engaged in those tasks. The automotive industry provides a striking example, where the introduction of robotic automation has reduced the need for manual labor in various assembly processes. The evidence suggests that job displacement due to automation is a real and pressing concern, necessitating strategies to address the potential impact on affected workers and industries (McKinsey Global Institute, 2017).

As AI and automation reshape the nature of work, there is a growing concern about the skills gap in the US workforce. The World Economic Forum has highlighted that by 2025, 50% of all employees will need reskilling to adapt to the changing job landscape. Failure to bridge this skills gap could lead to unemployment and underemployment, exacerbating socioeconomic disparities. For instance, the rise of AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants in customer service roles requires workers to possess not only technical skills but also strong interpersonal and problem-solving abilities. However, a significant portion of the workforce may lack these skills, leading to potential job losses or reduced opportunities for career advancement. To address this issue, initiatives such as upskilling and retraining programs are crucial for preparing workers for the changing demands of the labor market (World Economic Forum, 2020; Habeeb, 2023).

## *Problem Statement*

The impact of AI and automation is expected to affect different sectors and socioeconomic groups unevenly, potentially widening the gap between high-skilled and low-skilled workers (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2019; Beng, 2020; Nazareno & Schiff, 2021). A study conducted by the Brookings Institution found that jobs in manufacturing, food service, and retail industries, which often employ a significant number of low-wage workers, are more susceptible to automation. For example, the implementation of self-checkout systems in retail stores has reduced the need for human cashiers, potentially leading to job losses for low-skilled workers. This disparity in automation's impact can exacerbate existing socioeconomic inequalities, as low-skilled workers face greater challenges in adapting to the changing job market. Addressing these inequalities requires a comprehensive approach, including policies that promote equitable access to education, training, and job opportunities across different socioeconomic groups (Brookings Institution, 2019; Tschang & Almirall, 2021). By analyzing existing empirical evidence and examining successful case studies, this research aims to provide insights into how the US can navigate the challenges associated with the adoption of AI and automation while fostering a resilient workforce.

## *Research Aim and Objectives*

The aim of this research is to investigate the potential strategies and policies that can enhance the resilience of the United States workforce in the face of AI and automation technologies.

**The specific objectives are as follows:**

I. to assess the impact of AI and automation technologies on different sectors and job roles within the US workforce;
II. txploring successful initiatives and programs aimed at upskilling and reskilling the US workforce to adapt to AI and automation;
III. analyze existing policies and propose approaches to mitigate the negative consequences of job displacement and socioeconomic disparities resulting from AI and automation;
IV. assess the role of collaborations between government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions in promoting workforce resilience in the era of AI and automation.

**Research Questions**

I. What is the extent of the impact of AI and automation technologies on various sectors and job roles within the US workforce?

II. What are the successful initiatives and programmes currently implemented to upskill and reskill the US workforce in response to the challenges posed by AI and automation?

III. How do existing policies address the negative consequences of job displacement and socioeconomic disparities resulting from the integration of AI and automation in the US workforce, and what novel approaches can be proposed to enhance the mitigation?

IV. What is the role of collaborative efforts between government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions in promoting workforce resilience in the era of AI and automation?

**Literature Review**

**1. Conceptual Clarifications**

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and automation into the United States (US) workforce is a transformative phenomenon, raising critical issues that require conceptual clarification (Tyson & Zysman, 2022). Firstly, the impact of these technologies on job roles and industries necessitates exploration. Scholars such as Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) argue that AI and automation may lead to a significant shift in the nature of work, with implications for employment structures. Secondly, the concept of workforce resilience emerges as a key consideration. Resilience, as defined by Masten (2001), refers to the ability of individuals and communities to adapt positively to adversity. In the context of AI and automation, it becomes crucial to understand how the workforce can effectively bounce back from disruptions. Thirdly, upskilling and reskilling are essential components in addressing the challenges posed by AI. Frey and Osborne (2017) highlight the need for continuous learning and skill development to ensure employability. Lastly, the collaborative approach involving government, private sector, and educational institutions is vital. Davenport and Kirby (2016) emphasize the importance of coordinated efforts in navigating the complexities of AI adoption. In synthesizing these concepts, this study seeks to comprehensively understand and address the multifaceted dynamics of AI and automation, aiming to foster a resilient and adaptable US workforce.

**2. AI and Automation Influence on Diverse Sectors and Job Roles in the US Workforce**

The extent of the impact of AI and automation technologies on various sectors and job roles within the US workforce reveals a complex landscape characterized by both opportunities and challenges. While these technologies have the potential to enhance efficiency and productivity, there are prevailing issues that demand attention (Nazareno & Schiff, 2021). One prominent concern is the potential displacement of jobs, particularly in routine and manual tasks. According to a report by the McKinsey Global Institute (2019), nearly 32% of US workers may need to switch occupations by 2030 due to automation. Additionally, the impact is not evenly distributed across sectors, with certain industries facing a more significant threat. For instance, routine-based jobs in manufacturing, transportation, and customer service are particularly susceptible to automation (Chui, Manyika, & Miremadi, 2016). Moreover, there is a pressing need for reskilling to align the workforce with the evolving demands of AI. However, a study by the Government Accountability Office (GAO, 2019) highlights that the effectiveness of current reskilling programs is hindered by limited participation and unclear outcomes. These prevailing issues underscore the importance of critically assessing the multifaceted impact of AI and automation on the US workforce. Based on the aforementioned, the first research question is formulated: ***"what is the extent of the impact of AI and automation technologies on various sectors and job roles within the US workforce"?***

**3. Skill Surge: Unveiling Effective Programs to Navigate AI Challenges**

The successful implementation of initiatives and programs aimed at upskilling and reskilling the US workforce in response to the challenges posed by AI and automation is marred by several prevailing issues. Despite the recognition of the need for continuous learning, there exists a substantial gap in the accessibility and effectiveness of these programs across various demographic groups and industries. According to a study by the World Economic Forum (2018), only 44% of US employees believe they have access to training opportunities for acquiring new skills. Moreover, there is a disproportionate distribution of reskilling benefits, with higher-skilled workers receiving more opportunities than their lower-skilled counterparts, leading to exacerbated inequality (Bessen, 2019; Nazareno & Schiff, 2021; Habeeb & Baatunde, 2023). The inadequacy of traditional educational systems to keep pace with rapidly evolving technological requirements further compounds the problem, as highlighted by Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014). Furthermore, the efficacy of current programs is questionable, as evidenced by a survey from PwC (2020) indicating that 74% of CEOs are concerned about the availability of key skills in their workforce. These issues underscore the urgent need for a comprehensive understanding of the existing initiatives and programs, aiming to address the gaps and inequalities in upskilling and reskilling efforts. Based on the aforementioned, the second research question is formulated: ***what are the successful initiatives and programs currently implemented to upskill and reskill the US workforce in response to the challenges posed by AI and automation?***

**4. Policy Pivot: Tackling Displacement in the Age of AI**

The existing policies addressing the negative consequences of job displacement and socioeconomic disparities resulting from the integration of AI and automation in the US workforce reveal several shortcomings, necessitating novel approaches for enhanced mitigation. Policies often lack agility in adapting to the rapid technological changes, resulting in a lag between the emergence of new workforce challenges and the implementation of relevant solutions (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). For instance, a study by the Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF, 2021; Tschang & Almirall, 2021) indicates that government workforce policies are often outdated and insufficiently equipped to address the complexities introduced by AI. Moreover, policies predominantly focus on reemployment rather than proactive measures to prevent job displacement in the first place (Chui et al., 2016; Wright & Schultz, 2018). The socioeconomic disparities exacerbated by AI adoption also persist due to inadequate policy frameworks. The Brookings Institution (2020) reports that lower-income workers face a higher risk of displacement, widening the income gap. To enhance mitigation, novel approaches such as portable benefits, continuous education policies, and targeted support for vulnerable populations need consideration. This analysis underscores the need for a comprehensive examination of existing policies and the exploration of innovative solutions to effectively address the negative consequences of AI and automation integration. Based on the aforementioned, the third research question is formulated: ***How do existing policies address the negative consequences of job displacement and socioeconomic disparities resulting from the integration of AI and automation in the US workforce, and what novel approaches can be proposed to enhance the mitigation?***

**5. Collaborative Resilience: Powering the Future Workforce with AI Alliances**

The role of collaborative efforts between government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions in promoting workforce resilience in the era of AI and automation faces significant challenges and complexities. While collaboration is widely acknowledged as essential, the execution often falls short due to various issues. For instance, a report by Shaukat, *et al.* (2017) and Hazarika (2020) emphasizes that there is a lack of effective coordination among these entities,

hindering the development and implementation of cohesive strategies. The divergence in priorities and agendas between the private sector, government, and educational institutions can impede the seamless integration of efforts, potentially leaving gaps in addressing specific workforce needs. Additionally, the pace of technological change often outstrips the ability of collaborative initiatives to keep up, resulting in a persistent skills gap (Davenport & Kirby, 2016; Frank, *et al*. 2019). The allocation of resources and responsibilities within collaborative frameworks also poses challenges, with a study by the World Economic Forum (2020) noting that 54% of employers find it difficult to identify appropriate partners for collaboration. To enhance the effectiveness of collaborative efforts, a nuanced understanding of the barriers and facilitators is crucial. Based on the aforementioned, the fourth research question is formulated: ***what is the role of collaborative efforts between government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions in promoting workforce resilience in the era of AI and automation?***

**6. Theoretical Synthesis**

Two salient theories relevant to the study of creating a more resilient United States workforce in the context of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and automation are the Skill-Biased Technological Change (SBTC) theory and the Human Capital Theory. The SBTC theory, first introduced by economists David Autor, Lawrence Katz, and Alan Krueger in the 1990s, posits that technological advancements, particularly in automation and AI, tend to complement high-skilled workers while substituting for low-skilled workers. This theory assumes that as technology progresses, there is an increased demand for workers with advanced skills who can effectively leverage and work alongside new technologies. Empirical evidence supporting SBTC is found in a study by Acemoglu and Restrepo (2020), which suggests that the adoption of automation technologies in the manufacturing sector is associated with an increase in the demand for skilled labor. In the context of creating a more resilient US workforce, understanding the implications of SBTC is crucial for designing policies and programs that prioritize the development of high-level skills, ensuring workers can adapt to the changing technological landscape.

Complementing SBTC, the Human Capital Theory, developed by Nobel laureate Gary Becker in 1964, asserts that investments in education and training contribute to the development of human capital, enhancing individuals' productivity and employability. This theory emphasizes the importance of continuous learning and skill development to adapt to technological changes. In the era of AI and automation, where the demand for high-skilled workers is rising, the Human Capital Theory becomes increasingly relevant. Policies promoting education and training programs align with this theory, aiming to equip the workforce with the necessary skills to thrive in a technology-driven environment. For instance, initiatives like apprenticeships, coding bootcamps, and lifelong learning programs resonate with the Human Capital Theory, as they focus on enhancing the skills and knowledge of workers to make them more resilient in the face of AI and automation disruptions. Integrating both SBTC and the Human Capital Theory provides a comprehensive theoretical framework for understanding and addressing the challenges associated with creating a resilient US workforce in the age of AI and automation.

**7. Gaps in Literature**

Despite the growing recognition of the transformative impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and automation on the workforce, there exists a notable gap in the literature that necessitates further exploration. Conceptually, while studies have assessed the overall impact of these technologies on employment structures, there is a limited understanding of the nuanced effects across different demographic groups and industries. For instance, Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) emphasize the need for a more granular analysis to discern how AI adoption affects various job roles and skill levels. Theoretical gaps persist in terms of insufficient integration of comprehensive

frameworks that combine theories such as Skill-Biased Technological Change (SBTC) and Human Capital Theory to provide a holistic understanding of the dynamics at play (Acemoglu & Autor, 2011; Becker, 1964). Methodologically, there is a dearth of research employing longitudinal studies to capture the evolving nature of workforce adaptation and resilience in response to AI and automation (Davenport & Kirby, 2016; Battina, 2018). Additionally, while some studies focus on the impact of policies and programs, there is a need for methodologically rigorous assessments of the effectiveness of specific interventions in upskilling and reskilling the workforce (PwC, 2020). Addressing these conceptual, theoretical, and methodological gaps is imperative for advancing the understanding of the intricate relationships between AI, automation, and workforce resilience.

## *Methodology*

In this study, a qualitative approach utilizing manual content analysis was applied to systematically review and analyze 22 pertinent articles focusing on the impact of AI and automation on the US workforce. The goal was to identify key challenges and propose strategic interventions for fostering a more resilient workforce through effective training programs and policy initiatives. Adhering to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines ensured a comprehensive and transparent process in identifying, screening, and selecting studies. During the manual content analysis, essential themes, patterns, and insights were meticulously extracted and classified from the chosen articles. This methodology facilitated a thorough examination of ethical implications, qualitative aspects of user interactions, and the effectiveness of AI-powered technologies across diverse sectors and job roles within the US workforce.

The utilization of secondary data through an extensive literature review aligns with the explanatory research design (Lê & Schmid, 2022). A systematic search across reputable academic databases such as DOAJ, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Taylor and Francis, employing specific search terms, ensured a rigorous and unbiased approach in gathering a comprehensive set of scholarly works related to the topic. To maintain relevance and currency, the study focused on papers published between 2010 and 2024. The research instrument for data collection will involve utilizing the PRISMA framework, a well-established and widely accepted tool for systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Adherence to PRISMA guidelines guarantees a rigorous and systematic review process, enhancing the credibility and validity of the findings. The structured nature of PRISMA also allows for replicability and transparency in reporting the literature synthesis, essential for upholding the integrity of the research process. Thematic analysis will be employed to identify key themes, patterns, and insights regarding the challenges and opportunities associated with the upskilling and reskilling the US workforce to adapt to AI and automation.

## *Results and Discussions*

In the analysis and discussions that follow, this paper explored the intricate realm of AI and automation across diverse sectors and job roles within the US workforce using four primary research questions as a guide. The analysis, which drew conclusions from a thorough assessment of twenty-two (22) pertinent articles, covered the current state of these technologies, their effectiveness in upskilling and reskilling the US workforce to adapt to AI and automation.

**1. Analysis of Research Questions 1: What is the extent of the impact of AI and automation technologies on various sectors and job roles within the US workforce?**

Excerpts from the review of literature indicated that the impact of AI and automation technologies on various sectors and job roles within the US workforce. The first theme surrounding the extent of the impact of AI and automation on various sectors and job roles in the US workforce revolves around job displacement and transformation. Numerous studies, such as that by Chui,

Manyika, and Miremadi (2016), have indicated that while automation can create new job opportunities, it also leads to the displacement of certain roles, particularly those involving routine and manual tasks. For instance, the introduction of robotic process automation (RPA) in manufacturing has streamlined production but concurrently reduced the demand for certain manual labor jobs. Additionally, Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) highlight that the impact is not uniform across sectors, with industries such as healthcare and finance experiencing substantial transformations in job roles due to AI technologies. This theme underscores the need for nuanced analyses that account for the diversity of impacts on various sectors and job roles.

The second theme focuses on the skills gap and the demand for upskilling in response to AI and automation. As highlighted by Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2020), the adoption of advanced technologies tends to complement high-skilled workers while substituting for low-skilled roles. This has led to an increased demand for workers with advanced skills in areas such as data analysis, machine learning, and programming. For example, a report by the World Economic Forum (2018) emphasizes that by 2022, more than half of all employees will require significant reskilling. This theme underscores the urgency for robust upskilling and reskilling programs to equip the workforce with the skills demanded by the evolving technological landscape.

The third theme centers on the uneven distribution of the impacts across demographic groups, emphasizing the importance of addressing equity concerns. Studies, such as those by De Stefano (2019) and the Government Accountability Office (GAO, 2019), reveal that lower-skilled and vulnerable populations face a higher risk of job displacement. This exacerbates existing socioeconomic disparities, widening the gap between high-skilled and low-skilled workers. For instance, a study by the Brookings Institution (2020) indicates that automation disproportionately affects jobs in lower-income regions, magnifying economic inequalities. This theme underscores the imperative of considering the social and economic dimensions of AI and automation impacts, calling for targeted policies and interventions to mitigate disparities.

Specifically, the analysis of Research Question 1 highlights the multifaceted nature of the impact of AI and automation on the US workforce. The themes of job displacement and transformation, the skills gap, and the uneven distribution of impacts underscore the need for comprehensive and targeted strategies. Policymakers and stakeholders should prioritize the development of adaptive policies, targeted training programs, and initiatives to bridge the skills gap and address the social and economic disparities arising from the integration of AI and automation technologies. The implications of these findings call for a holistic and equitable approach to ensure a resilient and inclusive workforce in the face of technological advancements.

**2. Analysis of Research Questions 2: What are the successful initiatives and programmes currently implemented to upskill and reskill the US workforce in response to the challenges posed by AI and automation?**

Excerpts from the review of literature indicated that the successful initiatives and programmes currently implemented to upskill and reskill the US workforce in response to the challenges posed by AI and automation.

The first theme pertaining to successful initiatives and programs for upskilling and reskilling the US workforce in response to AI and automation centers on the role of educational institutions and online learning platforms. Recognizing the need for continuous learning, educational institutions and platforms like Coursera, Udacity, and edX have been offering a plethora of courses in emerging technologies. For example, Google's IT Support Professional Certificate on Coursera has successfully equipped individuals with the skills required for entry-level IT support roles (Beng, 2020; Johnson, et al. 2021). Such initiatives demonstrate the potential of online learning to bridge skill gaps and provide accessible education to a wide audience, contributing to workforce upskilling.

The second theme highlights corporate training programs initiated by industry leaders to enhance the skills of their employees. Major companies, including IBM, Amazon, and Microsoft, have developed internal training programs to prepare their workforce for the demands of AI and automation. IBM's SkillsBuild, for instance, offers a range of courses in areas such as data science and cloud computing (Nazareno & Schiff, 2021). These corporate-led initiatives not only address the immediate skills needs of the respective companies but also serve as models for other organizations looking to invest in their employees' professional development in the face of technological advancements.

The third theme focuses on government-led initiatives and policies aimed at fostering workforce resilience through upskilling and reskilling programs. The Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) in the United States emphasizes the importance of workforce development and allocates funds for training programs (Yarlagadda, 2017). Additionally, the TechHire initiative, launched by the Obama administration, aimed to connect individuals with non-traditional backgrounds to tech jobs through accelerated training programs (White House, 2015; Osoba & Welser, 2017). These governmental efforts underscore the role of public policy in shaping the upskilling landscape and promoting inclusivity in accessing training opportunities.

In conclusion, the analysis of Research Question 2 underscores the diverse landscape of initiatives and programs aimed at upskilling and reskilling the US workforce in response to AI and automation challenges. Themes related to online learning platforms, corporate training programs, and government-led initiatives highlight the collaborative efforts from various sectors. The implications of these findings suggest the importance of fostering partnerships between educational institutions, businesses, and government entities to create a comprehensive ecosystem for continuous learning. As the workforce adapts to evolving technological landscapes, ongoing support from diverse stakeholders is essential to ensure the success and sustainability of upskilling and reskilling programs.

**3. Analysis of Research Questions 3: How do existing policies address the negative consequences of job displacement and socioeconomic disparities resulting from the integration of AI and automation in the US workforce, and what novel approaches can be proposed to enhance the mitigation?**

Based on the analysis of the reviewed journals and articles, it was revealed that the integration of AI and automation faces several challenges, reflecting the complexities of incorporating advanced technologies and develop novel approaches can be proposed to enhance the mitigation.

The first theme addressing existing policies and their impact on job displacement and socioeconomic disparities centers on the need for adaptive and flexible labor market policies. Traditional policies, often slow to respond to technological advancements, exacerbate the negative consequences of job displacement. For instance, a study by the Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF, 2021) emphasizes the necessity of policies that facilitate labor market flexibility and encourage agile adjustments to the changing workforce landscape. Tschang and Almirall (2021) argued that such policies may include portable benefits that provide workers with access to benefits irrespective of their employment status, ensuring a smoother transition for those affected by job displacement.

The second theme underscores the importance of targeted policies addressing equity concerns and socioeconomic disparities resulting from AI and automation. Shaukat, et al. (2020) and Tyson and Zysman (2022) argued that existing policies inadvertently widen existing gaps, as certain demographics and lower-income groups are more vulnerable to displacement. A comprehensive approach, as advocated by the Brookings Institution (2020), involves designing policies that specifically target and support displaced workers in disadvantaged regions. For example, direct

investments in community colleges and vocational training programs in economically distressed areas can provide tailored support for reskilling initiatives, mitigating the impact of job displacement on vulnerable populations.

The third theme focuses on the potential of innovative policy interventions, including universal basic income (UBI) and lifelong learning accounts, to enhance mitigation strategies. A study by the McKinsey Global Institute (2019) suggests that UBI can be a viable solution to address income disparities resulting from job displacement. Furthermore, the implementation of lifelong learning accounts, proposed by organizations like the Aspen Institute (2019), can empower individuals to continuously acquire new skills throughout their careers. By providing financial support for ongoing education and training, these approaches offer novel and proactive ways to mitigate the negative consequences of AI and automation on the workforce.

In conclusion, the analysis of Research Question 3 highlights the imperative of adaptive labor market policies, targeted initiatives to address equity concerns, and innovative policy interventions to effectively mitigate the negative consequences of AI and automation. Policymakers must prioritize flexibility, equity, and innovation to ensure that the workforce can adapt to the evolving technological landscape. The implications of these findings underscore the need for a comprehensive and forward-thinking policy framework that addresses the multifaceted challenges arising from AI and automation, ensuring a more resilient and equitable workforce.

**4. Analysis of Research Questions 4: What is the role of collaborative efforts between government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions in promoting workforce resilience in the era of AI and automation?**

The findings of the fourth research question established the role of collaborative efforts between government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions in promoting workforce resilience in the era of AI and automation.

The first theme emphasizes the collaborative development of educational programs by government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions to bridge the skills gap. Initiatives like the German dual education system, where businesses actively participate in shaping vocational training, exemplify successful collaboration (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). In the U.S., programs like ApprenticeshipUSA involve partnerships between employers, educational institutions, and government agencies to provide hands-on training and education (Webster & Ivanov, 2020). This theme highlights the importance of collaborative efforts to design educational initiatives that align with the evolving needs of the workforce.

The second theme revolves around the significance of public-private partnerships in funding and supporting workforce development initiatives. Collaborative efforts, such as the TechHire initiative launched by the Obama administration, brought together local governments, employers, and training providers to enhance access to tech jobs (White House, 2015; Raj & Seamans, 2018). Furthermore, the involvement of private sector organizations in funding educational programs, as seen in Amazon's commitment to upskill 100,000 employees by 2025 (Amazon, 2019), showcases the potential impact of collaborative funding models in promoting workforce resilience. This theme underscores the critical role of partnerships in securing financial support for large-scale initiatives.

The third theme centers on the creation of collaborative ecosystems that facilitate knowledge sharing and innovation. Initiatives like the Partnership on AI, which includes major tech companies, aim to collaborate on advancing the understanding of AI's societal impact (West, 2018; Yarlagadda, 2017). Collaborative ecosystems provide a platform for cross-sector dialogue, enabling stakeholders to share insights, best practices, and emerging trends. This theme highlights the importance of fostering collaborative environments that encourage continuous learning and adaptation in response to the challenges posed by AI and automation.

Specifically, the analysis of Research Question 4 underscores the pivotal role of collaborative

efforts between government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions in promoting workforce resilience. Themes focusing on collaborative educational program development, public-private funding partnerships, and the creation of collaborative ecosystems highlight the multifaceted nature of effective collaboration. The implications of these findings stress the necessity for sustained and strategic partnerships to navigate the complexities of the AI and automation era, ensuring a resilient, adaptable, and well-equipped workforce.

## *Conclusion*

This study delves into the multifaceted landscape of AI and automation's impact on the United States workforce and endeavors to provide insights to foster resilience. The analysis of the extent of this impact underscores the complex dynamics of job displacement, skills gaps, and disparate socioeconomic consequences. Initiatives and programs aimed at upskilling and reskilling exhibit promising trends, with online platforms, corporate training, and government-led efforts playing pivotal roles. Addressing negative consequences through policy interventions demands adaptive measures, including labor market flexibility and targeted strategies to mitigate disparities. The collaborative efforts between government, private sector entities, and educational institutions emerge as a critical factor in promoting workforce resilience, emphasizing the need for cohesive programs, financial backing, and collaborative ecosystems. Ultimately, the study contributes to a nuanced understanding of the challenges posed by AI and automation, offering a foundation for strategic policy recommendations and collaborative initiatives to build a more resilient US workforce.

## *Policy Recommendations*

Based on the findings, policy recommendations aim to proactively address challenges and enhance the resilience of the US workforce in the era of AI and automation. Policies should prioritize the creation of flexible labor market frameworks, facilitating adaptive adjustments to evolving job landscapes. Targeted programs focusing on equity considerations should be implemented to address the disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations. The establishment of lifelong learning accounts and the exploration of innovative solutions like universal basic income can provide financial support for continuous education, mitigating the potential negative consequences of job displacement. Collaboration between government entities, private sector organizations, and educational institutions should be incentivized through funding programs and initiatives promoting knowledge-sharing ecosystems. Policymakers must be agile in response to technological advancements, ensuring that policies are dynamic and responsive to the evolving demands of the workforce.

### 1 Contributions to Knowledge

This study contributes to knowledge by offering a comprehensive examination of the impact of AI and automation on the US workforce and providing nuanced insights into effective strategies for resilience. The analysis of existing initiatives and programs reveals the diverse landscape of upskilling efforts, while the evaluation of policies exposes gaps and suggests areas for improvement. The identification of themes such as job displacement, skills gaps, and disparities in impact contributes to a deeper understanding of the nuanced challenges associated with AI and automation. Moreover, the emphasis on collaborative efforts underscores the importance of multi-stakeholder engagement in navigating the complexities of workforce adaptation. The study contributes to the academic discourse by providing a synthesized perspective on the multifaceted aspects of creating a resilient US workforce in the face of technological disruptions.

## *Funding*

This study received no specific financial support.

## *Competing interests*

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## *References*

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2018). Artificial intelligence, automation, and work. In *The economics of artificial intelligence: An agenda* (pp. 197-236). University of Chicago Press.

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2019). Automation and new tasks: How technology displaces and reinstates labor. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 33(2), 3-30.

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). The wrong kind of AI? Artificial intelligence and the future of labour demand. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, *13*(1), 25-35.

Amazon. (2019). Amazon announces plans to upskill 100,000 U.S. employees for in-demand jobs by 2025. Retrieved from https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/employment/amazon-announces-plans-to-upskill-100-000-u-s-employees-for-in-demand-jobs-by-2025

Aspen Institute. (2019). The future of work and its implications for lifelong learning. Retrieved from https://www.aspeninstitute.org/publications/the-future-of-work-and-its-implications-for-lifelong-learning/

Autor, D. H. (2015). Why Are There Still So Many Jobs? The History and Future of Workplace Automation. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(3), 3-30.

Battina, D. S. (2018). The future of artificial intelligence at work: A review on effects of decision automation and augmentation on workers targeted by algorithms and third-party observers. *International Journal of Innovations in Engineering Research and Technology*, *5*(7).

Beng, J. L. O. (2020). Future of Work in an Age of Automation, Artificial Intelligence and Technology. *Int'l. In-House Counsel J.*, *13*, 1.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future. W. W. Norton & Company.

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2016). Where machines could replace humans—and where they can't (yet). McKinsey Quarterly. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/where-machines-could-replace-humans-and-where-they-cant-yet

Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). Only Humans Need Apply: Winners and Losers in the Age of Smart Machines. Harper Business.

De Stefano, V. (2019). Automation, Artificial Intelligence, and Labor Protection. *Comp. Lab. L. & Pol'y J.*, *41*, 3.

Fauble, B. (2018). The Rise of AI: Why the American Workforce Must Inevitably Change. *Paideia*, *5*(1), 15.

Frank, M. R., Autor, D., Bessen, J. E., Brynjolfsson, E., Cebrian, M., Deming, D. J., ... & Rahwan, I. (2019). Toward understanding the impact of artificial intelligence on labor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(14), 6531-6539.

Government Accountability Office. (2019). Retraining Displaced Workers: Issues and Lessons from Two Sectoral Grants. Retrieved from https://www.gao.gov/assets/700/700680.pdf

Habeeb, H. & Babatunde, A. T. (2023). International journal of eminent scholars, 9(2), 38-53.

Habeeb, H. (2023). The US workforce of the future: a review on the industrial revolution. Intercontinental journal of education, science and technology, 7(1), 66-78.

Hazarika, I. (2020). Artificial intelligence: opportunities and implications for the health workforce. *International health*, *12*(4), 241-245.

He, Y. (2018). A study on the impact of artificial intelligence industry on macroeconomy: Evidence from United States of America. *Asian Journal of Business Environment*, *8*(4), 37-44.

Howard, J. (2019). Artificial intelligence: Implications for the future of work. *American journal of industrial medicine*, *62*(11), 917-926.

Information Technology and Innovation Foundation. (2021). Policies to Spur Innovation and Knowledge Transfer in the Post-COVID-19 World. Retrieved from https://itif.org/publications/2021/09/07/policies-spur-innovation-and-knowledge-transfer-post-covid-19-world

Johnson, M., Jain, R., Brennan-Tonetta, P., Swartz, E., Silver, D., Paolini, J., ... & Hill, C. (2021). Impact of big data and artificial intelligence on industry: developing a workforce roadmap for a data driven economy. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, *22*(3), 197-217.

Nazareno, L., & Schiff, D. S. (2021). The impact of automation and artificial intelligence on worker well-being. *Technology in Society*, *67*, 101679.

Osoba, O. A., & Welser, W. (2017). *The risks of artificial intelligence to security and the future of work*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

PwC. (2020). The workforce of the future: The competing forces shaping 2030. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.com/us/en/industries/private-company-services/library/workforce-of-the-future.html

Raj, M., & Seamans, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence, labor, productivity, and the need for firm-level data. In *The economics of artificial intelligence: An agenda* (pp. 553-565). University of Chicago Press.

Shaukat, K., Iqbal, F., Alam, T. M., Aujla, G. K., Devnath, L., Khan, A. G., ... & Rubab, A. (2020). The impact of artificial intelligence and robotics on the future employment opportunities. *Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, *5*(1), 050-054.

Tschang, F. T., & Almirall, E. (2021). Artificial intelligence as augmenting automation: Implications for employment. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *35*(4), 642-659.

Tyson, L. D., & Zysman, J. (2022). Automation, AI & work. *Daedalus*, *151*(2), 256-271.

U.S. Department of Labor. (n.d.). Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). Retrieved from https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/wioa

Webster, C., & Ivanov, S. (2020). *Robotics, artificial intelligence, and the evolving nature of work* (pp. 127-143). Springer International Publishing.

West, D. M. (2018). *The future of work: Robots, AI, and automation*. Brookings Institution Press.

World Economic Forum. (2018). The Future of Jobs Report 2018. Retrieved from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2018.pdf

World Economic Forum. (2021). The Future of Jobs Report 2021. Retrieved from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2021.pdf

Wright, S. A., & Schultz, A. E. (2018). The rising tide of artificial intelligence and business automation: Developing an ethical framework. *Business Horizons*, *61*(6), 823-832.

Yarlagadda, R. T. (2017). AI Automation and it's Future in the United States. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT), ISSN*, 2320-2882.

## *Список використаних джерел*

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2018). Artificial intelligence, automation, and work. In *The economics of artificial intelligence: An agenda* (pp. 197-236). University of Chicago Press.

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2019). Automation and new tasks: How technology displaces and reinstates labor. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 33(2), 3-30.

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). The wrong kind of AI? Artificial intelligence and the future of labour demand. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, *13*(1), 25-35.

Amazon. (2019). Amazon announces plans to upskill 100,000 U.S. employees for in-demand jobs by 2025. Retrieved from https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/employment/amazon-announces-plans-to-upskill-100-000-u-s-employees-for-in-demand-jobs-by-2025

Aspen Institute. (2019). The future of work and its implications for lifelong learning. Retrieved from https://www.aspeninstitute.org/publications/the-future-of-work-and-its-implications-for-lifelong-learning/

Autor, D. H. (2015). Why Are There Still So Many Jobs? The History and Future of Workplace Automation. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(3), 3-30.

Battina, D. S. (2018). The future of artificial intelligence at work: A review on effects of decision automation and augmentation on workers targeted by algorithms and third-party observers. *International Journal of Innovations in Engineering Research and Technology*, *5*(7).

Beng, J. L. O. (2020). Future of Work in an Age of Automation, Artificial Intelligence and Technology. *Int'l. In-House Counsel J.*, *13*, 1.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future. W. W. Norton & Company.

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2016). Where machines could replace humans—and where they can't (yet). McKinsey Quarterly. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/where-machines-could-replace-humans-and-where-they-cant-yet

Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). Only Humans Need Apply: Winners and Losers in the Age of Smart Machines. Harper Business.

De Stefano, V. (2019). Automation, Artificial Intelligence, and Labor Protection. *Comp. Lab. L. & Pol'y J.*, *41*, 3.

Fauble, B. (2018). The Rise of AI: Why the American Workforce Must Inevitably Change. *Paideia*, *5*(1), 15.

Frank, M. R., Autor, D., Bessen, J. E., Brynjolfsson, E., Cebrian, M., Deming, D. J., ... & Rahwan, I. (2019). Toward understanding the impact of artificial intelligence on labor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(14), 6531-6539.

Government Accountability Office. (2019). Retraining Displaced Workers: Issues and Lessons from Two Sectoral Grants. Retrieved from https://www.gao.gov/assets/700/700680.pdf

Habeeb, H. & Babatunde, A. T. (2023). International journal of eminent scholars, 9(2), 38-53.

Habeeb, H. (2023). The US workforce of the future: a review on the industrial revolution. Intercontinental journal of education, science and technology, 7(1), 66-78.

Hazarika, I. (2020). Artificial intelligence: opportunities and implications for the health workforce. *International health*, *12*(4), 241-245.

He, Y. (2018). A study on the impact of artificial intelligence industry on macroeconomy: Evidence from United States of America. *Asian Journal of Business Environment*, *8*(4), 37-44.

Howard, J. (2019). Artificial intelligence: Implications for the future of work. *American journal of industrial medicine*, *62*(11), 917-926.

Information Technology and Innovation Foundation. (2021). Policies to Spur Innovation and Knowledge Transfer in the Post-COVID-19 World. Retrieved from

https://itif.org/publications/2021/09/07/policies-spur-innovation-and-knowledge-transfer-post-covid-19-world

Johnson, M., Jain, R., Brennan-Tonetta, P., Swartz, E., Silver, D., Paolini, J., ... & Hill, C. (2021). Impact of big data and artificial intelligence on industry: developing a workforce roadmap for a data driven economy. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, *22*(3), 197-217.

Nazareno, L., & Schiff, D. S. (2021). The impact of automation and artificial intelligence on worker well-being. *Technology in Society*, *67*, 101679.

Osoba, O. A., & Welser, W. (2017). *The risks of artificial intelligence to security and the future of work*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

PwC. (2020). The workforce of the future: The competing forces shaping 2030. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.com/us/en/industries/private-company-services/library/workforce-of-the-future.html

Raj, M., & Seamans, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence, labor, productivity, and the need for firm-level data. In *The economics of artificial intelligence: An agenda* (pp. 553-565). University of Chicago Press.

Shaukat, K., Iqbal, F., Alam, T. M., Aujla, G. K., Devnath, L., Khan, A. G., ... & Rubab, A. (2020). The impact of artificial intelligence and robotics on the future employment opportunities. *Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology*, *5*(1), 050-054.

Tschang, F. T., & Almirall, E. (2021). Artificial intelligence as augmenting automation: Implications for employment. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *35*(4), 642-659.

Tyson, L. D., & Zysman, J. (2022). Automation, AI & work. *Daedalus*, *151*(2), 256-271.

U.S. Department of Labor. (n.d.). Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). Retrieved from https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/wioa

Webster, C., & Ivanov, S. (2020). *Robotics, artificial intelligence, and the evolving nature of work* (pp. 127-143). Springer International Publishing.

West, D. M. (2018). *The future of work: Robots, AI, and automation*. Brookings Institution Press.

World Economic Forum. (2018). The Future of Jobs Report 2018. Retrieved from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2018.pdf

World Economic Forum. (2021). The Future of Jobs Report 2021. Retrieved from http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Jobs_2021.pdf

Wright, S. A., & Schultz, A. E. (2018). The rising tide of artificial intelligence and business automation: Developing an ethical framework. *Business Horizons*, *61*(6), 823-832.

Yarlagadda, R. T. (2017). AI Automation and it's Future in the United States. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT), ISSN*, 2320-2882.